UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

SHAUNICE PATTILLO,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO.
	§	3:18-cv-01194-B
ARBOR E&T, LLC, d/b/a RESCARE	§	
WORKFORCE SERVICES,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

APPENDIX IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Respectfully submitted,

FEE, SMITH, SHARP & VITULLO, LLP

WILLIAM M. TOLES

State Bar No. 00798550

wtoles@feesmith.com

HOWARD J. KLATSKY

State Bar No. 00786024

hklatsky@feesmith.com

Three Galleria Tower

13155 Noel Road, Suite 1000

Dallas, Texas 75240

(972) 934-9100

(972) 934-9200 [Fax]

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b), I hereby certify that on the day of October, 2018, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument has been mailed, telecopied or hand delivered to all attorneys of record in this cause of action as follows.

Via E-filing & Facsimite CPA: Siel somis

Lantis G. Roberts
The Law Office of Lantis G. Roberts, PLLC
1166 West Pioneer Parkway
Arlington, Texas 76013

HOWARD J. KLATSKY

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 21 Filed 10/09/18 Page 3 of 40 PageID 146

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 1 Filed 05/10/18 Page 1 of 12 PageID 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

SHAUNICE PATTILLO,	s s	CIVIL ACTION NO.
Plaintiff,	§ §	3
VS. ARBOR E&T, LLC, D/B/A RESCARE WORKFORCE SERVICES	& & & &	
Defendant	\$ \$ \$ \$	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§ §	

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COMES Shaunice Pattillo, hereinafter called "Plaintiff" or "Pattillo," by and through the undersigned attorney, complaining of and about Arbor E&T, D/B/A ResCare Workforce Services ("ResCare" or "Defendant"), and for cause of action shows unto the Court the following:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. The Plaintiff, Shaunice Pattillo, brings this action against Defendant, for violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VII) (42 U.S.C. § 2000e) as amended by the Pregnancy and Discrimination Act of 1978.

2. At the time of discrimination and retaliation, Pattillo was employed with Defendant as a Talent Development Specialist-Youth ("TDS"). Despite Pattillo's many achievements, Defendant subjected her to discrimination because she was a woman who recently gave birth and exercised her rights under the Fair Labor Standards Act related to lactation. Pattillo also engaged in other protected activity. After Pattillo filed a complaint with the U.S. Department of Labor ("USDOL"), in opposition to the Defendant's failure to comply with federal law, she was retaliated against by being written up and disciplined by the Defendant. The Defendant was aware that Pattillo filed a complaint with USDOL. Defendant created a hostile work environment thereby forcing Pattillo to resign due to the extreme stress they caused her, thereby constituting a constructive discharge. This affected Pattillo's ability to produce and express milk for her child.

JURISDICTION

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, in that this is a civil action arising under Title VII. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims discussed below under 28 U.S.C. Section 1367(a) because they arise out of the same case or controversy.

VENUE

4. Venue is proper in this district under 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(3), in that the unlawful employment practice was committed in the Northern District of Texas-Dallas Division, the relevant employment records are maintained in this district, and the aggrieved person would have worked in this district but for the alleged unlawful employment practice. Venue in this Court is also proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as this is the judicial district in which a

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 21 Filed 10/09/18 Page 5 of 40 PageID 148

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 1 Filed 05/10/18 Page 3 of 12 PageID 3

substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the asserted claims occurred. Further, the exercise of personal jurisdiction comports with Due Process under the United States Constitution.

CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

- 5. On January 20, 2015, Pattillo timely filed a charge of discrimination on the basis of sex and retaliation with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.
- 6. On February 16, 2018, Pattillo received her Notice of Right to Sue. This complaint has been filed with 90 days of receipt of that notice. Pattillio has fully complied with all prerequisites to jurisdiction in the Court under Title VII.

PARTIES & SERVICE

- 7. Shaunice Pattillo is an individual who resides in Fort Worth, Texas. Plaintiff is a citizen of Texas. Plaintiff may be contacted by her undersigned attorney. Pattillo is an employee, as defined by Title VII.
- 8. Defendant Arbor E&T, LLC, D/B/A ResCare Workforce Services is a Limited Liability Company with a principal place of business located at 10140 Linn Station Road, Louisville, KY 40223-3813. Defendant Arbor E&T, LLC, D/B/A ResCare Workforce Services may be served by serving CT Corporation System, its Registered Agent authorized to accept service at 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201.

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 21 Filed 10/09/18 Page 6 of 40 PageID 149

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 1 Filed 05/10/18 Page 4 of 12 PageID 4

FACTS

- 9. Pattillo has been employed by the Defendant as a Talent Development Specialist from May 10, 2012 through November 6, 2014. Patillo worked out of the Workforce Solutions Center Greater Dallas located at 7330 S. Westmoreland Road, Suite 200, Dallas, Texas 75237.
- 10. On or about July 18, 2013, Pattillo was recognized as the June 2013 "Southwest Rockstar" by the Defendant.
- 11. On or about September 2013, Pattillo informed the Defendant that she was pregnant. Prior to this time, Pattillo had positive performance reviews and no disciplinary history.
- 12. On or about October 28, 2013, while pregnant, Pattillo stood in the door during a group huddle meeting. Ms. Eugenia Grissom forcefully grabbed Pattillo wrist and tried to close the door at the same time. Pattillo had prior bad experiences with Ms. Grissom, but did not report them due to fear of retaliation. In this recent incident, Pattillo was concerned about the safety of her unborn child and reported the incident to the human resources department ("HR").
- 13. Pattillo further informed HR that she felt threatened by Ms. Grissom and was uncomfortable with the work environment due to how she was being treated. Due to the continued hostility, Pattillo filed a complaint with the Defendant' ethics hotline. The Defendant failed to take any corrective action.
- 14. On or about September 25, 2014, Pattillo was recognized with the "2014 Summer WEX Outstanding Achievement Award."

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 21 Filed 10/09/18 Page 7 of 40 PageID 150 Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 1 Filed 05/10/18 Page 5 of 12 PageID 5

- 15. Pattillo returned to work on or about September 8, 2014 from her FMLA leave after giving birth to her daughter. Shortly thereafter her supervisor, Cortney Cunningham ("Cunningham"), began treating Pattillo differently because of sex and status as a breastfeeding mother.
- 16. Beginning on or about October 13, 2014, Cunningham required Pattillo to provide her with a schedule as to when she planned to express milk for her child. Cunningham also required Pattillo to express her milk only during the two break periods (15 minutes each) and the lunch hour (one hour)¹.
- 17. Soon after Pattillo's return to work Cunningham required Pattillo to increase her case load. Although Pattillo's caseload had decreased while she was absent from work due to her pregnancy, Cunningham required Pattillo to exceed the usually monthly growth of other employees who held positions similar to Pattillo. It is not uncommon for an employee in a position that is similar to Pattillo's to gain only an additional two students per month. For example, if ten students attended orientation, these students would be split between all of the Talent Development Specialists.
- 18. On October 29, 2014, Cunningham presented Pattillo with a corrective action plan based primarily on the unreasonable standards she set forth for Pattillo after her return from FMLA leave. Specifically, Cunningham required Pattillo to increase her from 38 46 cases to 60-80 cases by November 21, 2014. This was unreasonable when no other employee similarly situated with Pattillo was required to meet such a high standard.

¹ See, Exhibit A.

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 21 Filed 10/09/18 Page 8 of 40 PageID 151

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 1 Filed 05/10/18 Page 6 of 12 PageID 6

- 19. There were several variables that could affect Pattillo's ability to meet the unreasonable standard set by Cunningham, to include but not limited to the applicant's ability to provide documentation that they are authorized to work in the United States, copy of driver's license, social security card. Many of Pattillo's applicants either failed to or were unable to provide the required documentation.
- 20. During Pattillo's absence on FMLA her caseload faltered because her cases were placed on the backburner by the Defendant. No one maintained contact with her applicants during this period of time. This affected Pattillo's ability to convert those applicants to students of the youth program.
- 21. Pattillo's dailiy workload was extremely heavy due to orientation on Tuesdays and Wednesdays from 10:00am until 2:00pm. Additionally, she had to attend meetings, many of which were all day meetings.
- 22. Pattillo was treated significantly less favorable than another breastfeeding mother, Shawna Berro ("Berro"). Ms. Berro took breaks to express her milk whenever she desired, but Cunningham required Pattillo to schedule her times to express milk and had to notify Cunningham of the time and duration in advance. Pattillo also had to express milk only during her assigned break periods and lunch hour.
- 23. The work environment became extremely hostile towards Pattillo that it led to her resignation. This is a result of how she was treated by Cunningham and the Defendant. Any reasonable person under the circumstances that experienced what Pattillo did, would have resigned to.

24. As the result of the Defendant's discriminatory and retaliatory treatment of Pattillo she has experienced and continues to suffer from mental anguish.

COUNT ONE

Retaliation in Violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e et al.) as Amended by the Pregnancy Discrimination Act of 1978 (AND SEX DISCRIMINATION)

- 25. Pattillo repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 24 hereof, as if fully set forth herein.
- 26. Pattillo had recently given birth and suffered a medical condition of depression, related to her pregnancy and qualified for her position when Defendant constructively discharged her.
- 27. In addition, Defendant and Cunningham marginalized Pattillo while treating non-pregnant similarly-situated employees more favorably. Specifically, the employees were not required to meet unreasonable goals or provide a lactation schedule or provide any details of what they did on their break periods and lunch hour. Berro was not required to provide a lactation schedule as Pattillo was required to do.
- 28. Pattillo suffered damages as a result of Defendant's unlawful discriminatory actions, including emotional distress, past and future lost wages and benefits, and the costs of bringing this action.
- 29. Defendant intentionally violated Pattillo's rights under Title VII, with malice or reckless indifference, and, as a result, is liable for punitive damages.

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 21 Filed 10/09/18 Page 10 of 40 PageID 153

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 1 Filed 05/10/18 Page 8 of 12 PageID 8

COUNT TWO

Retaliation in Violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e et al.) as Amended by the Pregnancy Discrimination Act of 1978.

- 30. Pattillo repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 29 hereof, as if fully set forth herein.
- 31. Pattillo had recently given birth and suffered a medical condition of depression, related to her pregnancy and qualified for her position when Defendant constructively discharged her.
- 32. Plaintiff engaged in protected activity several times by complaining to HR regarding the assault by Ms. Grissom, contacting the Defendant's ethics hotline, and when she filed a complaint with the USDOL.
- 33. Only a short while after initiating each of these complaints did Defendant began to take retaliatory action against Pattillo, including creating a hostile work environment, setting unreasonable and unattainable performance goals due to her pregnancy related absence, disciplining Pattillo related to those same goals, and summarily constructively discharged her on November 6, 2014.
- 34. Within two hours of Pattillo tendering her resignation due to the hostile work environment, the Defendant escorted her off the premises. She was constructively discharged.
- 35. Defendant's alleged reason for disciplining and terminating Pattillo's employment is pretextual and baseless. Defendant fired Pattillo because she complained of a hostile work environment and discrimination.

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 21 Filed 10/09/18 Page 11 of 40 PageID 154

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 1 Filed 05/10/18 Page 9 of 12 PageID 9

36. Pattillo suffered damages as a result of Defendant's unlawful retaliatory actions, including emotional distress, past and future lost wages and benefits, and the costs of bringing this action.

COUNT THREE

Cat's Paw Liability

- 37. Pattillo repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 36 hereof, as if fully set forth herein.
- 38. On information and belief, Cunningham was the decision maker with respect to discipline imposed on Pattillo related to the unreasonable and unattainable goals set.

 Cunningham's conduct led to her decision to further impose a corrective action plan on Pattillo.
- 39. Cunningham was the key actor for the Defendant who sent several emails to Pattillo regarding her schedule to express milk².

COUNT FOUR

Wrongful Discharge

- 40. Pattillo repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 39 hereof, as if fully set forth herein.
- 41. Defendant, ResCare, by and through their employees and agents, individually and in concert with each other, wrongfully discharged and otherwise discriminated against Tucker in violation of Title VII Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, which is an exception to the employment at will doctrine in Texas.

² See, Exhibit A.

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 21 Filed 10/09/18 Page 12 of 40 PageID 155

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 1 Filed 05/10/18 Page 10 of 12 PageID 10

COUNT FIVE

Constructive Discharge

- 42. Pattillo repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 41 hereof, as if fully set forth herein.
- 43. Pattillo's working conditions became so intolerable that a reasonable person in her position would have felt compelled to involuntarily resign. The terms and conditions of her employment changed tremendously to the point where Pattillo's work environment was made difficult due to the acts of Grissom, Cunningham and others. This led to Pattillo experiencing unpleasant and less meaningful work than what she was hired for. Due to the acts of the Defendants and its employees, Pattillo was stressed to the point where it affected her ability to properly lactate for her daughter.
- 44. Defendant failed to exercise reasonable care to prevent or correct Grissom and Cunningham's conduct towards Pattillo.
 - 45. Defendant is strictly liable for the acts of Cunningham and Grissom.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

- A. Accept jurisdiction over this matter;
- B. Award Plaintiff for her past and future loss of wages, plus interest as a result of the violations;
- C. Award Plaintiff front pay (including benefits);

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 21 Filed 10/09/18 Page 13 of 40 PageID 156

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 1 Filed 05/10/18 Page 11 of 12 PageID 11

D. Award to Plaintiff all costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this

action. Such fees may be awarded under Title VII, the Back Pay Act--- or if under none of

those----the Equal Access to Justice Act.;

E. Award to Plaintiff compensatory damages;

F. Award to Plaintiff punitive damages, if applicable;

G. Equitable relief, such as a declaration that Defendant violated Title VII;

H. An injunction prohibiting the Defendant from further violating the law against Plaintiff;

I. An order requiring the Defendant to regularly report to the Court on future efforts to reduce

the likelihood of others suffering such violations; and

J. Grant Plaintiff such additional or alternative relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all claims properly triable by a jury.

Dated: 10 MAY 2018

Arlington, Texas

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 21 Filed 10/09/18 Page 14 of 40 PageID 157

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Lantis G. Roberts

Lantis G. Roberts

Texas Bar No. 24057463

E-Mail: Lantis@kreativelaw.com

THE LAW OFFICE OF LANTIS G.

ROBERTS, PLLC

1166 West Pioneer Parkway

Arlington, TX 76013

Tel. (817) 768-1819

Fax. (817) 704-4529

Attorney for Shaunice Pattillo

COURTESY NOTICE TO DEFENDANT

IF YOU HAD INSURANCE AT THE TIME OF THE INCIDENT, PLEASE TURN THIS DOCUMENT OVER TO YOUR INSURANCE COMPANY.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION

In the Matter of: Arbor E&T LLC, dba ResCare Workforce Services/Mrs. Shaunice Pattillo 1745883, 2015-167-16663

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement (Agreement) is entered into by the Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor (Secretary), Arbor E&T LLC, dba ResCare Workforce Services (Employer), and Mrs. Shaunice Pattillo (Complainant).

The Secretary, through Investigator Luckett of the Wage and Hour Division, conducted an investigation of a complaint received from Complainant on or about October 16, 2014, alleging constructive discharge for engaging in activity protected by Section 15(a)(3) of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §215(a)(3) (FLSA or Section 15(a)(3)).

This Agreement will terminate the Secretary's investigation, and Employer, without admitting it has violated any provision of the FLSA, has agreed to the following terms:

Back Pay. Employer will pay Complainant \$10,264.69 in back wages, less normal payroll deductions, and \$10,264.69 in liquidated damages, less federal and state taxes only. Employer shall mail a check made payable to Complainant to the Wage and Hour Office at 1701 East Lamar, Ste#270, Arlington, Texas 76006 within 10 days after all parties have signed this Agreement. Employer shall provide Complainant with an IRS Form W-2 for the portion representing back wages and an IRS Form 1099 for the portion representing liquidated (and other) damages. Employer shall pay its share of the payroll taxes on the portion representing back wages.

Employment Reference. Should any third parties, including prospective employers, inquire as to Complainant's employment with Employer, Employer will provide a neutral reference, stating only Complainant's dates of employment, job title and last wage rate. Employer will refrain from any mention of Complainant's protected activity. Employer will also refrain from characterizing Complainant's separation as a termination, and if asked will only confirm that the Complainant is no longer employed by Employer. If asked about Complainant's eligibility for rehire, Employer will state that it is not able to provide information about Complainant's eligibility for rehire. Employer agrees that it will not convey to any third party information that could be construed as damaging the name, character, or employment of Complainant. Employer further agrees that it will advise its human resources and supervisory staff of the requirement to provide a neutral job reference and to comply with the other requirements in this paragraph.

Waiver. Employer agrees to waive all rights and defenses which may be available by virtue of the statute of limitations, including but not limited to Section 6 of the Portal-to-Portal Act, 29 U.S.C. §255. Employer further waives any right to challenge or contest the validity of this Agreement.

Compliance with Section 15(a)(3). Employer will not discharge or in any other manner discriminate against any employee because the employee engaged in activity protected by the whistleblower provision of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §215(a)(3). Such activity includes, but is not limited to, an employee's oral or written question or complaint to the Employer about the employee's pay or the employer's pay practices; an employee's oral or written question or

complaint to the Wage and Hour Division or another state or federal agency about the employee's pay or the employer's pay practices; an employee's cooperation in any Wage and Hour investigation, including providing a statement to investigators; and an employee's refusal to return back wages due to him pursuant to a Wage and Hour investigation.

Posting. Employer will permanently post in a conspicuous place in or about its premises at all places where posters for employees are customarily posted, including electronically if Employer routinely posts notices to employees electronically, Employee Rights Under the Fair Labor Standards Act, and will post for at least 90 days Fact Sheet #77A: Prohibiting Retaliation Under the Fair Labor Standards Act, which is attached to this Agreement.

Training. Employer or his representative will provide training on prohibiting retaliation under Section 15(a)(3) of the Fair Labor Standards to all human resources and supervisory staff by October 25, 2015 and will certify by letter to the Wage and Hour Dallas District Office by November 25, 2015 that the training has been completed. In addition, retaliation training shall be incorporated into new managers' training materials.

By entering into this Agreement, the Wage and Hour Division does not waive its right to continue ongoing investigations or to conduct future investigations of Employer under the FLSA and to take appropriate enforcement action, including assessment of civil money penalties, with respect to any violations disclosed by such investigations.

Closure of Complaint. Complainant agrees that acceptance of this Agreement constitutes settlement in full of any and all claims against Employer arising out of Complainant's complaint filed with the Wage and Hour Division on October 16, 2014 and will result in closure of the investigation.

This Agreement has been obtained and entered into without duress and in the best interest of all parties.

Arbor E&T LLC dba ResCare Workforce Services

U.S. Department of Labor Wage and Hour Division

Mr. Brad Williams

Vice President, of Operations

Dated:

FOR

Mr. Cartis L. Poer

District Director

Dated:

yaunu (

Dated:

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 21 Filed 10/09/18 Page 17 of 40 PageID 160

U.S. Department of Labor
Wage and Hour Division
Receipt for Payment of Back Wages, Liquidated Damages,
Employment Benefits, or Other Compensation



I, Pattillo,	Shaunice	, have receive	d payment of wages, liquidat	ed damages, employment
(typed or printed r	ame of employee)			
benefits, or other compensation	due to me from		Arbor E&T, LLC	
			name and location of the establi	shment)
	330 Westmoreland	Rd., Ste. 200	Dallas TX 75232	
			140.000	
for the period beginning with th			4/06/2013	through the
workweek ending	04/04/2015	, Th	e amount of the payment I re	eceived is shown below.
This payment of wages and other Division (WHD) and is based of the marked box(es):				
Fair Labor Standards	Act (FLSA)			
Gross Amount Back Wages	\$10 264	.69 Gross Amount	Liquidated Damages	\$10,264.69
Legal Deductions from Back Wage	s \$ 6.138,95	Other Amount I	Paid	
Net Amount Received \$14,39	n 47			(please specify type)
Net Amount Received [1 1 1 7 1	0.12			
Standards Act (FLSA) or Famil the right you have to bring suit of compensation for the period of costs under Section 16(b) of the including liquidated damages, n you have actually received this	on your own behalf for the ime indicated above and a FLSA or Section 107 of the filed within two ye	e payment of such un an equal amount in l the FMLA. Generall ears of a violation of	npaid minimum wages or unp iquidated damages, plus atto ly, a suit for unpaid wages or	paid overtime rney's fees and court other compensation,
RETALIATION AND KICKBA payment of wages you are owed also prohibited from retaliating with a WHD investigation. You Medical Leave Act (FMLA) rig to comply with the law in the fur You may contact the WHD by considerable of employee Address 105 Strong Address 10	or from requiring you to against any person who fill remployer is also prohibited that. You should contact the ture. Your identity will be calling 1-866-487-9243 or any Common State of State o	return or decline pa les a complaint with ted from interfering the WHD immediated kept confidential to 817-861-2150.	yment of the wages owed to the Wage and Hour Division with, restraining, or denying y if your employer takes any the maximum extent possib Date Date	you. Your employer is n (WHD) or cooperates the exercise of Family of these actions or fails le under existing law.
indicated above of the wages, liquescribed above, and covering the	idated damages, or other c			
I hereby certify that I have on the employee in full covering lost of have not and will not retaliate a employee to return all or part of Signature	is (Date) 9/4/ r denied wages, liquidated gainst the above-named en this payment to me.	damages, or other on ployee for accepting	compensation as stated above	id the above-named e. I further certify that I of and will not ask the

PENALTIES INCLUDING FINES OR IMPRISONMENT ARE PRESCRIBED FOR A FALSE STATEMENT OR MISREPRESENTATION UNDER U.S. CODE, TITLE 18, SEC. 1001

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

SHAUNICE PATTILLO,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO.
	§	3:18-cv-01194-B
ARBOR E&T, LLC, d/b/a RESCARE	8	
WORKFORCE SERVICES,	§	
<u>.</u>	§	
Defendant.	8	

DEFENDANT'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO PLAINTIFF

TO: Plaintiff Shaunice Pattillo, by and through her attorney of record Lantis G. Roberts, The Law Offices of Lantis G. Roberts, PLLC, 1166 West Pioneer Parkway, Arlington, Texas 76013.

COMES NOW Arbor E&T, LLC d/b/a Rescare, Defendant in the above-referenced matter, and serves this First Set of Requests for Admission on Plaintiff Shaunice Pattillo. PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that you are required, pursuant to the FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, to serve on the undersigned, within thirty (30) days after the service of this notice, your answers, separately and fully, in writing, to the following Requests for Admission.

DEFINITIONS & INSTRUCTIONS:

- 1. The responding party must serve a written response on the requesting party within thirty (30) days after service of these Requests for Admission.
- 2. Unless the responding party states an objection or asserts a privilege, the responding party must specifically admit or deny each request or explain, in detail, the reasons that the responding party cannot admit or deny the request. Each response must fairly meet the substance of each request. The responding party may qualify an answer, or deny a request in part, only when good faith requires. Lack of information or knowledge is not a proper response

unless the responding party states that a reasonable inquiry was made but that the information known or easily obtainable is insufficient to enable the responding parties to admit or deny the requests. An assertion that the request presents an issue for trial is not a proper response.

- 3. If responses to these requests are not timely served the requests will be considered admitted as a matter of law without the necessity of a Court Order pursuant to Rule 36(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 4. The terms "you" and "your" refer to Plaintiff Shaunice Pattillo, as context indicates, and, in addition, any person or entity acting on behalf of, at the direction of, or in conjunction with Plaintiff Shaunice Pattillo.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS

REQUEST NO. 1: Admit that you filed a complaint with the Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor on or about October 16, 2014 alleging that Arbor E&T, LLC had constructively discharged you for engaging in activity protected by §15(a)(3) of the Fair Labor Standards Act.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 2: Admit that the protected activity that you had engaged in was, according to the complaint that you filed with the Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor, related to lactation.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 3: Admit that the protected activity that you had engaged in was, according to the complaint that you filed with the Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor, the expressing of milk for your newborn child.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 4: Admit that the complaint that you filed with the Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor against Arbor E&T, LLC was ultimately resolved and settled.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 5: Admit that you executed the written Settlement Agreement that is attached to these Requests for Admission at Exhibit "A".

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 6: Admit that the document that is attached to these Requests for Admission at Exhibit "A" memorializes the settlement that was entered into relating to the complaint that you filed with the Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor against Arbor E&T, LLC.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 7: Admit that you understood that your signature on the Settlement Agreement that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "A" constituted settlement in full of any and all claims that you had against Arbor E&T, LLC arising out of the complaint that you filed with the Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 8: Admit that you received all of the monies that Arbor E&T, LLC agreed to pay you under the terms of the Settlement Agreement that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "A".

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 9: Admit that your signature appears on the document that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "B".

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 10: Admit that you received all of the monies that are identified on the document that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "B".

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 11: Admit that you received check no. 100514828 that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "C".

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 12: Admit that you received check no. 81006258 that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "D".

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 13: Admit that you have not tendered – or offered to tender – any the monies that are identified in check nos. 100514828 and 81006258 back to Arbor E&T, LLC, at any time.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 14: Admit that you executed the Settlement Agreement that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "A" before you retained counsel to file this lawsuit.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 15: Admit that you signed the document that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "B" before you retained counsel to file this lawsuit.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 16: Admit that you received the checks that are identified on the documents that are attached to these admission requests at Exhibits "C" and "D" before you retained counsel to file this lawsuit.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 17: Admit that you created the document that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "E".

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 18: Admit that you submitted a copy of the document that is attached to these admission requests as Exhibit "E" to Arbor E&T, LLC in November 2014.

ANSWER:

Respectfully submitted,

FEE, SMITH, SHARP & VITULLO, LLP

12000

WILLIAM M. TOLES

State Bar No. 00798550

wtoles@feesmith.com

HOWARD J. KLATSKY

State Bar No. 00786024

hklatsky@feesmith.com

Three Galleria Tower

13155 Noel Road, Suite 1000

Dallas, Texas 75240

(972) 934-9100

(972) 934-9200 [Fax]

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b), I hereby certify that on the day of August, 2019, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument has been mailed, telecopied or hand delivered to all attorneys of record in this cause of action as follows.

Via Email & CMRRE

Lantis G. Roberts
The Law Office of Lantis G. Roberts, PLLC
1166 West Pioneer Parkway
Arlington, Texas 76013

HOWARD J. KLATSKY

EXHIBIT

A

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION

In the Matter of: Arbor E&T LLC, dba ResCare Workforce Services/Mrs. Shaunice Pattillo 1745883, 2015-167-16663

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement (Agreement) is entered into by the Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor (Secretary), Arbor E&T LLC, dba ResCare Workforce Services (Employer), and Mrs. Shaunice Pattillo (Complainant).

The Secretary, through Investigator Luckett of the Wage and Hour Division, conducted an investigation of a complaint received from Complainant on or about October 16, 2014, alleging constructive discharge for engaging in activity protected by Section 15(a)(3) of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §215(a)(3) (FLSA or Section 15(a)(3)).

This Agreement will terminate the Secretary's investigation, and Employer, without admitting it has violated any provision of the FLSA, has agreed to the following terms:

Back Pay. Employer will pay Complainant \$10,264.69 in back wages, less normal payroll deductions, and \$10,264.69 in liquidated damages, less federal and state taxes only. Employer shall mail a check made payable to Complainant to the Wage and Hour Office at 1701 East Lamar, Ste#270, Arlington, Texas 76006 within 10 days after all parties have signed this Agreement. Employer shall provide Complainant with an IRS Form W-2 for the portion representing back wages and an IRS Form 1099 for the portion representing liquidated (and other) damages. Employer shall pay its share of the payroll taxes on the portion representing back wages.

Employment Reference. Should any third parties, including prospective employers, inquire as to Complainant's employment with Employer, Employer will provide a neutral reference, stating only Complainant's dates of employment, job title and last wage rate. Employer will refrain from any mention of Complainant's protected activity. Employer will also refrain from characterizing Complainant's separation as a termination, and if asked will only confirm that the Complainant is no longer employed by Employer. If asked about Complainant's eligibility for rehire, Employer will state that it is not able to provide information about Complainant's eligibility for rehire. Employer agrees that it will not convey to any third party information that could be construed as damaging the name, character, or employment of Complainant. Employer further agrees that it will advise its human resources and supervisory staff of the requirement to provide a neutral job reference and to comply with the other requirements in this paragraph.

Waiver. Employer agrees to waive all rights and defenses which may be available by virtue of the statute of limitations, including but not limited to Section 6 of the Portal-to-Portal Act, 29 U.S.C. §255. Employer further waives any right to challenge or contest the validity of this Agreement.

Compliance with Section 15(a)(3). Employer will not discharge or in any other manner discriminate against any employee because the employee engaged in activity protected by the whistleblower provision of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §215(a)(3). Such activity includes, but is not limited to, an employee's oral or written question or complaint to the Employer about the employee's pay or the employer's pay practices; an employee's oral or written question or

complaint to the Wage and Hour Division or another state or federal agency about the employee's pay or the employer's pay practices; an employee's cooperation in any Wage and Hour investigation, including providing a statement to investigators; and an employee's refusal to return back wages due to him pursuant to a Wage and Hour investigation.

Posting. Employer will permanently post in a conspicuous place in or about its premises at all places where posters for employees are customarily posted, including electronically if Employer routinely posts notices to employees electronically, Employee Rights Under the Fair Labor Standards Act, and will post for at least 90 days Fact Sheet #77A: Prohibiting Retaliation Under the Fair Labor Standards Act, which is attached to this Agreement.

Training. Employer or his representative will provide training on prohibiting retaliation under Section 15(a)(3) of the Fair Labor Standards to all human resources and supervisory staff by October 25, 2015 and will certify by letter to the Wage and Hour Dallas District Office by November 25, 2015 that the training has been completed. In addition, retaliation training shall be incorporated into new managers' training materials.

By entering into this Agreement, the Wage and Hour Division does not waive its right to continue ongoing investigations or to conduct future investigations of Employer under the FLSA and to take appropriate enforcement action, including assessment of civil money penalties, with respect to any violations disclosed by such investigations.

Closure of Complaint. Complainant agrees that acceptance of this Agreement constitutes settlement in full of any and all claims against Employer arising out of Complainant's complaint filed with the Wage and Hour Division on October 16, 2014 and will result in closure of the investigation.

This Agreement has been obtained and entered into without duress and in the best interest of all parties.

Arbor E&T LLC dba ResCare Workforce Services U.S. Department of Labor Wage and Hour Division

Mr. Brad Williams

Vice President of Operations

Dated:

FOR

Mr. Cartis L. Poer

District Director

Dated:

Dated:

unice Pattillo

Dated:

Summary of Unpaid Wages

U.S. Department of Labor Wage and Hour Division



Office Address:	Dallas TX District Office Dallas District Office 1701 E. Lamar	7207	restigator: lelody Luck	ett		Date: 08/25/2015
	Suite 270 Arlington, TX 76006 817-861-2150	Er	mployer Fed	Tax ID Number:	46-0508470	
1. Name	2. Address	3. Period Covered by Work Week Ending Dates	4. Act(s)	5. BWs Due	6. LDs Due	Total
1. Pattillo, Shaunio	e 105 East Dallas Grand Prairie, TX 75051	04/08/2013 10 04/04/2015	FLSA	\$10,264,69	\$10,264.69	\$20,529.38
		8		\$10,264.69	\$10,264.69	\$20,529.38

Brad Williams, VP of Operations Date: 08/25/2015 12:10:46 PM

Case ID: 1745883

Form WH-56

Page 1

EXHIBIT

B

U.S. Department of Labor
Wage and Hour Division
Receipt for Payment of Back Wages, Liquidated Damages,
Employment Benefits, or Other Compensation



l, Pattillo	, Shaunice	, have receive	d payment of wages, liquidat	ed damages, employment
	d name of employee)			- n n
benefits, or other compensati	on due to me from	,	Arbor E&T, LLC	diameter -
	7330 Westmoreland Ro		name and location of the establi	sament)
- 3	7330 Westmorerand Ro	1., SLB. 200	Dallas IX /3232	
for the period beginning with		0	4/06/2013	through the
workweek ending	04/04/2015	Th	e amount of the payment I re	ceived is shown below.
	ther compensation was calcula l on the findings of a WHD inv			
Fair Labor Standard	is Act (FLSA)			
Gross Amount Back Wages	\$10,264.	69 Gross Amount	Liquidated Damages	\$10,264.69
Legal Deductions from Back Wa		Other Amount I		
Net Amount Received \$14.3	190 47	Outer randour r		(please specify type)
The Thiodile Accorded 17 712	10.12			
costs under Section 16(b) of including liquidated damages you have actually received the RETALIATION AND KICK payment of wages you are ow also prohibited from retaliating with a WHD investigation. Y Medical Leave Act (FMLA) to comply with the law in the You may contact the WHD be Signature of employee Address 105 SF	of time indicated above and an the FLSA or Section 107 of the mount in the FLSA or Section 107 of the mount in the payment in the amount indicated or from requiring you to reing against any person who files our employer is also prohibited rights. You should contact the future. Your identity will be key calling 1-866-487-9243 or 8.	e FMLA. Generally of a violation of a ted above. remployer is profiture or decline pays a complaint with different interfering WHD immediately ept confidential to 17-861-2150.	y, a suit for unpaid wages or the FLSA or FMLA. Do not ubited from retaliating again, yment of the wages owed to the Wage and Hour Division with, restraining, or denying y if your employer takes any the maximum extent possible Date 9 4 4	other compensation, at sign this receipt unless st you for accepting you. Your employer is an (WHD) or cooperates the exercise of Family of these actions or fails be under existing law.
	iquidated damages, or other com			
EMPLOYER'	S CERTIFICATION TO WAGE A	ND HOUR DIVISIO	N OF THE DEPARTMENT OF I	LABOR:
I hereby certify that I have on	C> /1/ /			id the above-named
employee in full covering los have not and will not retaliate employee to return all or part	t or denied wages, liquidated de against the above-named emp of this payment to me.	loyee for accepting	compensation as stated above ag this payment and I have no	e. I further certify that I ot and will not ask the
Signature (employer or at	MIKE HARRIS	Title <u>Res-10</u>	NAL HK DIRECT	OR

PENALTIES INCLUDING FINES OR IMPRISONMENT ARE PRESCRIBED FOR A FALSE STATEMENT OR MISREPRESENTATION UNDER U.S. CODE, TITLE 18, SEC. 1001

Date:08/25/2015 12:11:15 PM

Case ID: 1745883

Form WH-58 (Rev. May 2013) Page 1 **EXHIBIT**

C

DATE

31-AUG-15

VENDOR SHAUNICE PATTILLO 232709

NO. 100514828

INVOICE NO.	INVOICE DATE	DESCRIPTION	DISCOUNT	NET INVOICE
35075/082815	28-AUG-15	SETTLEMENT	0.00	7,587.84
		,	- 1	-
(•			
	3			
				V.
	4			
	2 1	pie	*	+
1				27%
				ż
1			1 1	
				} ~
12				*
		$\tilde{c}_j t_j$		
ý.	fs.	ν^{ϵ}		
1				*
ICE TO ALL RESCAR uant to Section 603: are must comply will	E CONTRACTORS A 2 of the Federal Delic th and abide by Reso	ND AGENTS it Reduction Act Of 2005 (DRA), all contractors and agents of are's policies for preventing fraud, waste and abuse. For	f /	W)
led information on the //www.rescare.com/ all other accounts paraget information for the	ese requirements, o legal.php or call 1.8 /able inquiries, pleas e local/regional offic	ND AGENTS it Reduction Act Of 2005 (DRA), all contractors and agents of are's policies for preventing fraud, waste and abuse. For intractors and agents should access the following link: 00.866.0860, ext. 2237. It contact your local/regional ResCare Office. If you do not have, please call the ResCare Corporate Office at 800.866.0860.	76	
2111 Opt#4.			1	
		S YOUR RECORD OF PAYMENT.	0.00	7,587.84

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS SECURITY FEATURES - THE	PACE HAS A COLUMN BACKGHO	UND UNIVERSE PAPER	The Charles of the Control of
JP MORGAN CHASE BA	ANK, N.A.	NO.	100514828
RESCARE INC.			56-1544/441
6901 LINN STATION ROAD LOUISVILLE, KY 40223	DATE		I TNUOMA
	31-AUG-15		\$****7,587.84
		计图 海绵打	
PAY Seven Thousand Five Hundred Eighty Seven Dollars A	and 84 Cents****		
		VOID AFTER 18D DAY:	
TOTHE SHAUNICE PATTILLO			
105 SE DALLAS ST		10	
GRAND PRAIRIE TX 75051		Jun 16	
THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A THUE WAI	ER MARK HOLD TO LIGH	C TO VERILY	

EXHIBIT

D

Case 3:18-cv-01194-B Document 21 Filed 10/09/18 Page 32 of 40 PageID 175

CO. FILE DEPT: CLOCK CHK.NO. ZLV 124570 115842 0081006238

Earnings Statement
Pay Date: 08/28/2015

RESCARE, INC. 9901 LINN STATION RD LOUISVILLE, KY 40223

Paygroup; ZLV File Number: 124570

Single

Taxable Marital Status: Exemptions/Allowances:

Federal: 01

SHAUNICE R PATTILLO 105 SE DALLAS ST

Important Notes

GRAND PRAIRIE, TX 75051

Earnings	rate hours	this period	
MISCELLAN	EOUS	10,264.69	
	Gross Pay &	10,264.69	
Deductions	Statutory		
	Federal Withholding Tax	2,676.85-	
	Social Security Tax	636,41-	
	Medicare Tax	148.84-	
	Other		
	Net Pay 3	6,802,59	

THE BACK OF THIS CHECK CONTAINS A SECURITY MARK - DO NOT ACCEPT WITHOUT HOLDING AT AN ANGLE TO VERIFY SECURITY MARK

RESCARE, INC. 9901 LINN STATION RD LOUISVILLE, KY 40223 Bank of America ATLANTA, GA 64-1278 / 61

08/28/2015

81006258

Pay: Pay to the Six Thousand Eight Hundred Two and 59/100 Dollars

\$6,802.59

order of

SHAUNICE R PATTILLO

AUTHORIZED SIGNATU VOID AFTER 180 DAYS

EXHIBIT

E

11/6/2014

Dear Cortney Cunningham,

I am writing to formally notify you that I am resigning from my position as Talent Development Specialist with the youth program at ResCare Company.

Please accept this letter as formal notification.

My last day of employment will be November 20th, 2014 as my two weeks' notice. I appreciate the opportunity I have been given at ResCare and I wish the company success in the future.

Sincerely,

Shaunice Pattillo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISON

SHAUNICE PATTILLO	§	
	§	
Plaintiff	§	
	§	
V.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO.
	§	3:18-cv-01194-B
ARBOR E&T, LLC, d/b/a RESCARE	§	
WORKFORCE SERVICES	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS

Pursuant to Rule 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff SHAUNICE PATTILLO, by and through her attorney, LANTIS G. ROBERTS, responds to Defendant ARBOR E&T, LLC, d/b/a RESCARE's FIRST Set of Requests for Admissions as follows:

SPECIFIC RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION

REQUEST NO. 1: Admit that you filed a complaint with the Wages and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor on or about October 16, 2014 alleging that Arbor E&T, LLC had constructively discharged you for engaging in activity protected by §15(a)(3) of the Fair Labor Standards Act.

OBJECTION: Plaintiff objects to the extent that the request is compound and ambiguous. Plaintiff provides a response based on her understanding of the request.

RESPONSE: Plaintiff's admits that a complaint was filed with the U. S. Department of Labor – Wage & Hour Division (DOL-WHD) for violation(s) that occurred under the Fair Labor Standards Act. Plaintiff denies to the extent that she was constructively discharged on November 6, 2014.

REQUEST NO. 2: Admit that the protected activity that you had engaged in was, according to the complaint that you filed with the Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor, related to lactation.

OBJECTION: NONE.

RESPONSE: Admit.

REQUEST NO. 3: Admit that the protected activity that you had engaged in was, according to the complaint that you filed with the Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor, the expressing of milk from your newborn child.

OBJECTION: NONE.

RESPONSE: Admit.

REQUEST NO.4: Admit that the complaint that you filed with the Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor against Arbor E&T, LLC was ultimately resolved and settled.

OBJECTION: NONE.

RESPONSE: Admit.

REQUEST NO. 5: Admit that you executed the written Settlement Agreement that is attached to these Request for Admission at Exhibit "A".

OBJECTION: NONE.

RESPONSE: Admit.

REQUEST NO. 6: Admit that the document that is attached to these Requests for Admission at Exhibit "A" memorializes the settlement that was entered into relating to the complaint that you file with the Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor against Arbor E&T, LLC.

OBJECTION: NONE.

RESPONSE: Admit.

REQUEST NO. 7: Admit that you understood that your signature on the Settlement Agreement that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "A" constituted settlement in full of any and all claims that

you had against Arbor E&T, LLC arising out of the complaint that you filed with the Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor.

OBJECTION: Plaintiff objects to the words "settlement in full of any and all claims you had against Arbor E&T, LLC," to the extent that Plaintiff only settled the claim that was asserted under the FLSA, but not other claims. Plaintiff further objects to this request to the extent that the U.S. Department of Labor – WHD does not have jurisdiction nor the authority to settle any claims related to Title VII or any other claims that Plaintiff has asserted in the instant litigation.

RESPONSE: Plaintiff admits that she settled the FLSA claim with the Defendant through USDOL-WHD, but no other claims that arose under her employment with the Defendant.

REQUEST NO. 8: Admit that you received all of the monies that Arbor E&T, LLC agreed to pay you under the terms of the Settlement Agreement that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "A".

OBJECTION: NONE.

RESPONSE: Admit.

REQUEST NO. 9: Admit that your signature appears on the document that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "B".

OBJECTION: NONE.

RESPONSE: Admit.

REQUEST NO. 10: Admit that you received all of the monies that are identified on the document that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "B".

OBJECTION: NONE.

RESPONSE: Admit.

REQUEST NO. 11: Admit that you received check no. 100514828 that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "C".

OBJECTION: NONE.

RESPONSE: Admit.

REQUEST NO. 12: Admit that you received check no. 81006258 that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "D".

OBJECTION: NONE.

RESPONSE: Admit.

REQUEST NO. 13: Admit that you have not tendered – or offered to tender – any the monies that are identified in check nos. 100514828 and 81006258 back to Arbor E&T, LLC, at any time.

OBJECTION: NONE.

RESPONSE: Admit.

REQUEST NO. 14: Admit that you executed the Settlement Agreement that is attached to these admission request at Exhibit "B" before you retained counsel to file this lawsuit.

OBJECTION: NONE.

RESPONSE: Deny.

REQUEST NO. 15: Admit that you signed the document that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "B" before you retained counsel to file this lawsuit.

OBJECTION: NONE.

RESPONSE: Deny.

REQUEST NO. 16: Admit that you received the checks that are identified on the documents that are attached to these admission requests at Exhibits "C" and "D" before you retained counsel to file this lawsuit.

OBJECTION: NONE.

RESPONSE: Deny.

REQUEST NO. 17: Admit that you created the document that is attached to these admission requests at Exhibit "E".

OBJECTION: NONE,

RESPONSE: Admit.

REQUEST NO. 18: Admit that you submitted a copy of the document that is attached to these admission requests as Exhibit "E" to Arbor E&T, LLC in November 2014.

OBJECTION: Plaintiff objects to this request to the extent that the context of the document in Exhibit E is not clear on its face.

RESPONSE: Plaintiff admits that she submitted the document in Exhibit E because she was subjected to discrimination and violations of the law by the Defendant that constituted a constructive discharge.

September 4, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

Lantis G. Roberts

State Bar No. 24057463

E-Mail: Lantis@kreativelaw.com

LAW OFFICE OF LANTIS G. ROBERTS,

PLLC 1166 West Pioneer Parkway Arlington,

Rberr

Texas 76013

Tel. (817) 768-1819

Fax (817) 704-4529

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 5, 2018, I caused a true and correct copy of PLAINTIFF
SHAUNICE PATTILLO'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS to DEFENDANT ARBOR E&T, LLC,
d/b/a RESCARE'S FIRST Set of Requests for Admissions to be served via email on HOWARD J.
KLATSKY, hklatsky@feesmith.com, Three Galleria Tower 13155 Noel Road, Suite 1000 Dallas, Texas
75240.

Lantis G. Roberts